Your contact details

Your details

>

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

	(if you have one)					
Organisation:	Organisation:					
Name: Graeme Murray	lame:					
Address:	Address:					
Postcode:	Postcode:					
Tel:	Tel:					
*Email:	*Email:					
*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.						
This response contains pages including this one.						

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations

examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent

Your Agent's details

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?					
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)			
Endmoor		M41M			
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)					
Support	Support in part 🖂		Oppose		
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)					
Whilst I feel that the requirement for further housing land development outside of the current village footprint is unnecessary, I agree with the P Richard PC suggestion to amend this land to Housing and Open space, rather than the previous proposal of Housing and Mixed employment.					

<u>1</u> <u>B Alternative Sites</u>
Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?						
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)				
Endmoor		EN59				
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)						
Support	Support in part		Oppose 🛛			
Please explain your reasons/ac box if necessary)	dd your comments belov	w (contin	ue on a separate sheet/expand			
I feel that the requirement for to is proposed by a Housing commay provide them with require This development would effect continuous corridor of develop Such a large scale development commercial properties available.	pany purely on the grouments for addition hous tively join the villages of oment from the M6, almont is not required, with s	inds thating. Endmo	t any additional employment or and Gatebeck, providing a igh to Kendal outskirts.			

<u>1</u> <u>C</u> <u>Alternative Sites</u>
Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?						
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)				
Endmoor		RN285				
Please indicate below whether this site be included in the Lan	you support, support in nd Allocations document	part or t (please	oppose the suggestion that tick as appropriate)			
Support	Support in part		Oppose 🖂			
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)						
I feel that the requirement for further housing land outside of the current village footprint is unnecessary. Development would dominate village and is not required.						